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Overview

Chord:
- **Maps keys onto nodes** in a 1D circular space
- Uses consistent hashing  – D. Karger, E. Lehman
- Aimed at large-scale peer-to-peer applications

Talk
- Consistent hashing
- Algorithm for key location
- Algorithm for node joining
- Algorithm for stabilization
- Failures and replication
Consistent hashing

- Distributed caches to relieve hotspots on the web
- Node identifier hash = hash(IP address)
- Key identifier hash = hash(key)
- Designed to let nodes enter and leave the network with minimal disruption

A key is stored at its successor: node with next higher ID

In Chord hash function is Secure Hash SHA-1
Key Location

- Finger tables allow faster location by providing additional routing information than simply successor node.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>finger[k].start</td>
<td>$(n+2^{k-1}) \mod 2^m$, $1 \leq k \leq m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.interval</td>
<td>$[\text{finger}[k].\text{start}, \text{finger}[k+1].\text{start})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.node</td>
<td>first node $\geq n.\text{finger}[k].\text{start}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>successor</td>
<td>the next node on the identifier circle; \text{finger}[1].node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>predecessor</td>
<td>the previous node on the identifier circle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_k is the finger table index_
Lookup(id)

Finger table for Node 1:
- \(\text{finger}[3].\text{interval} = [\text{finger}[3].\text{start}, 1]\)
- \(\text{finger}[1].\text{start} = 2\)
- \(\text{finger}[2].\text{start} = 3\)

Finger tables and key locations with nodes 0, 1, 3 and keys 1, 2 and 6:
To find the successor of an id:
Chord returns the successor of the closest preceding finger to this id.

// ask node n to find id's successor
n.find_successor(id)
    n' = n.find_predecessor(id);
    return n'.successor;

// ask node n to find id's predecessor
n.find_predecessor(id)
    n' = n;
    while (id ∉ (n', n'.successor])
        n' = n'.closest_preceding_finger(id);
    return n';

// return closest finger preceding id
n.closest_preceding_finger(id)
    for i = m downto 1
        if (finger[i].node ∈ (n, id))
            return finger[i].node;
    return n;

Finding successor of identifier 1
• The finger pointers at repeatedly doubling distances around the circle cause each iteration of the loop in find_predecessor to halve the distance to the target identifier.

In an N node Network the number of messages is of

$O(\log N)$
Node Join/Leave

Finger Tables and key locations after Node 6 joins

After Node 3 leaves

Changed values are in black, unchanged in gray
Join PseudoCode

Three steps:

1- **Initialize** finger and predecessor of new node \( n \)

2- **Update** finger and predecessor of existing nodes to reflect the addition of \( n \)

   - \( n \) becomes \( i^{th} \) finger of node \( p \) if:
     - \( p \) precedes \( n \) by at least \( 2^{i-1} \)
     - \( i^{th} \) finger of node \( p \) succeeds \( n \)

3- **Transfer** state associated with keys that node \( n \) is now responsible for

New node \( n \) only needs to contact node that immediately forwards it to transfer responsibility for all relevant keys

```cpp
#define successor_finger[1].node

// node \( n \) joins the network;
// \( n' \) is an arbitrary node in the network
n.join(n')
if (n')
    init_finger_table(n');
    update_others();
    // move keys in (predecessor, \( n' \)) from successor
else // \( n \) is the only node in the network
    for i = 1 to m
        finger[i].node = n;
        predecessor = n;

// initialize finger table of local node;
// \( n' \) is an arbitrary node already in the network
n.init_finger_table(n')
    finger[1].node = n'.find_successor(finger[1].start);
    predecessor = successor.predecessor;
    successor.predecessor = n;
    for i = 1 to m - 1
        if (finger[i + 1].start in [n, finger[i].node])
            finger[i + 1].node = finger[i].node;
        else
            finger[i + 1].node = n'.find_successor(finger[i + 1].start);

// update all nodes whose finger
// tables should refer to \( n \)
n.update_others()
    for i = 1 to m
        // find last node \( p \) whose \( i^{th} \) finger might be \( n \)
        p = find_predecessor(n - 2^{i-1});
        p.update_finger_table(n, i);

// if \( s \) is \( i^{th} \) finger of \( n \), update \( n \)'s finger table with \( s 

n.update_finger_table(s, i)
if (s in [p, finger[i].node])
    finger[i].node = s;
    p = predecessor; // get first node preceding \( n \)
    p.update_finger_table(s, i);
```
Join/leave cost

Number of nodes that need to be updated when a node joins is

\[ O(\log N) \]

Finding and updating those nodes takes

\[ O(\log^2 N) \]
Stabilization

• If nodes join and stabilization not completed 3 cases are possible
  - finger tables are current $\rightarrow$ lookup successful
  - successors valid, fingers not $\rightarrow$ lookup successful (because find_successor succeeds) but slower
  - successors are invalid or data hasn’t migrated $\rightarrow$ lookup fails
Stabilization cont’d

n acquires $n_s$ as successor

$n_p$ runs stabilize:

- asks $n_s$ for its predecessor ($n$)
- $n_p$ acquires $n$ as its successor
- $n_p$ notifies $n$ which acquires $n_p$ as predecessor

Predecessors and successors are correct
Failures and replication

• Key step in failure recovery is correct successor pointers
• Each node maintains a successor-list of $r$ nearest successors
• Knowing $r$ allows Chord to inform the higher layer software when successors come and go → when it should propagate new replicas
class Node:
    def __init__(self, id):
        self.id = id
        self.finger = {}
        self.start = {}
        for i in range(k):
            self.start[i] = (self.id + 2**i) % (2**k)
    def successor(self):
        return self.finger[0]
    def find_successor(self, id):
        if between(id, self.predecessor.id, self.id):
            return self
        n = self.find_predecessor(id)
        return n.successor()
    def find_predecessor(self, id):
        if id == self.id:
            return self.predecessor
        n1 = self
        while not between(id, n1.id, n1.successor_id).id:
            n1 = n1.closest_preceding_finger(id)
        return n1
    def closest_preceding_finger(self, id):
        for i in range(k-1, -1, -1):
            if between([id, self.id], self.finger[i].id, self.id):
                print self.finger[i].id
                return self.finger[i]
        return self

def join(self, n1):
    if self == n1:
        for i in range(k):
            self.finger[i] = self
            self.predecessor = self
    else:
        self.init_finger_table(n1)
        self.update_others()
        # Move keys !!!
    def init_finger_table(self, n1):
        self.finger[0] = n1.find_successor(self.start[0])
        self.predecessor = self.successor().predecessor
        self.successor().predecessor = self
        self.predecessor.finger[0] = self
        for i in range(k-1):
            if between(self.start[i+1], self.id, self.finger[i].id):
                self.finger[i+1] = self.finger[i]
            else:
                self.finger[i+1] = n1.find_successor(self.start[i+1])
    def update_others(self):
        for i in range(k):
            prev = decr(self.id, (2**i))
            p = self.find_predecessor(prev)
            if prev == p.successor().id:
                p = p.successor()
                p.update_finger_table(self, i)
    def update_finger_table(self, s, i):
        if between(s.id, self.id, self.finger[i].id) and self.id = s.id:
            self.finger[i] = s
            p = self.predecessor
            p.update_finger_table(s, i)
Symphony
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DHTs: The Big Picture

**Load Balance**

“How do we splice the hash table evenly?”

*Nodes choose their ID in the hash space uniformly at random.*

---

**Topology Establishment**

“How do we route with small state per node?”

- Deterministic (CAN/Chord)
- Randomized (Symphony)
- (Pastry/ Tapestry)

---

**Caching, Hotspots, Fault Tolerance, Replication, ...**

* x --- x *
## Spectrum of DHT Protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topology</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>#links</th>
<th>latency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deterministic Topology</td>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chord</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partly Randomized Topology</td>
<td>Viceroy</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tapestry</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pastry</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely Randomized Topology</td>
<td>Symphony</td>
<td>2$k+2$</td>
<td>$O((\log^2 n)/k)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symphony in a Nutshell

Nodes arranged in a unit circle (perimeter = 1)

Arrival --> Node chooses position along circle uniformly at random

Each node has 1 short link (next node on circle) and \( k \) long links

Adaptation of Small World Idea: [Kleinberg00]
Long links chosen from a probability distribution function: \( p(x) = \frac{1}{x \log n} \) where \( n = \#\text{nodes} \).

Simple greedy routing:
"Forward along that link that minimizes the absolute distance to the destination."

Average lookup latency = \( O((\log^2 n) / k) \) hops

Fault Tolerance:
No backups for long links! Only short links are fortified for fault tolerance.

A typical Symphony network
Network Size Estimation Protocol

Problem: What is the current value of \( n \), the total number of nodes?

\[ x = \text{Length of arc} \]
\[ 1/x = \text{Estimate of } n \]

(Idea from Viceroy)

- 3 arcs are enough.
- Re-linking Protocol not worthwhile.
Intuition Behind Symphony’s PDF

Distance to long distance neighbour

Probability Distribution

Symphony

Chord

Distance to long distance neighbour

Probability Distribution

Distance to long distance neighbour

Probability Distribution

Distance to long distance neighbour

Probability Distribution
Step 0: Symphony

$p(x) = 1 / (x \log n)$

Symphony:
“Draw from the PDF log n times”
Step 1: Step-Symphony

\[ p(x) = \frac{1}{x \log n} \]

Step-Symphony:
“Draw from the discretized PDF \( \log n \) times”
Step 2: Divide PDF into $\log n$ Equal Bins

Step-Partitioned-Symphony: “Draw exactly once from each of $\log n$ bins”
Step 3: Discrete PDF

Chord:
“Draw exactly once from each of log n bins”
Each bin is essentially a point.
Two Optimizations

Bi-directional Routing
- Exploit both outgoing and incoming links!
- Route to the neighbor that minimizes absolute distance to destination
- Reduces avg latency by 25-30%

1-Lookahead
- List of neighbor’s neighbors
- Reduces avg latency by 40%
Latency vs State Maintenance

| Network size: $n=2^{15}$ nodes |

- Viceroy
- CAN
- Pastry
- Chord
- Symphony
- Tapestry

- + Bidirectional Links
- + 1-Lookahead

Many more graphs in the paper.
Why Symphony?

1. Low state maintenance
   - Low degree --> Fewer pings/keep-alives, less control traffic
   - Low degree --> Distributed locking and coordination overhead over smaller sets of nodes
   - Low degree --> Smaller bootstrapping time when a node joins
     Smaller recovery time when a node leaves

2. Fault tolerance
   - Only short links are bolstered. No backups for long links!

3. Smooth out-degree vs latency tradeoff
   - Only protocol that offers this tuning knob even at run time!
   - Out-degree is not fixed at runtime, or as a function of network size.

4. Flexibility and support for heterogeneity
   - Different nodes can have different #links!